Processing images for red–green dichromats compensation via naturalness- and informationpreservation considered recoloring

Z. Zhu¹, M. Toyoura¹, K. Go¹, I. Fujishiro², K. Kashiwagi¹, X. Mao¹

University of Yamanashi
 Keio University





Also known as

- **color blind** (monochromacy, dichromacy)
- **color weak** (anomalous trichromacy)



Normal





Red-Green Dichromacy

Purpose

propose a **recoloring algorithm** for red–green dichromacy compensation

Contents



- 1. Color Vision Deficiency
- 2. Existing Researches
- 3. Proposed Method
- 4. Evaluation
- 5. Summary



Cone Cells: light stimulus \rightarrow color vision



Responsivity spectra





Anomaly in cone cells: CVD





Anomaly in cone cells: CVD



Most of CVDs are

red-green CVD (anomaly in L or M cone)

Incidence of red–green CVD
X-chromosome heritability
5–8% for male, 0.8% for female

Red–Green Dichromacy further divided into
protanopia (anomaly in L cone)
deuteranopia (anomaly in M cone)





Brettel's dichromacy simulation model

 LMS color space
 color gamut of dichromacy: two half-planes
 projecting color to the half-plane along abnormal axis

Brettel, H., Viénot, F., & Mollon, J. D. (1997). Computerized simulation of color appearance for dichromats. *JOSA A*, 14(10), 2647-2655.



Protanopia Simulation

MaoLab



Simulation results of protanopia/deuteranpia (Brettel 1997)



Normal Individual



Protanope



Normal Individual



Deuteranope

Contrast Loss



Machado et al. 2010

Maximizing global contrast

Color gamut of dichromacy in CIE L*a*b* color space (Lab): π_d



Machado, G. M., & Oliveira, M. M. (2010, June). Real - Time Temporal - Coherent Color Contrast Enhancement for Dichromats. In *Computer Graphics Forum* (Vol. 29, No. 3, pp. 933-942). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.



Machado et al. 2010

- > obtain plane π_n which **maximizes contrast loss** in least-squares sense
- > project all colors onto plane π_v which is orthogonal to π_n
- \triangleright rotate π_v to align them to the plane of dichromacy π_d





Machado et al. 2010

- \blacktriangleright obtain plane π_n which maximizes contrast loss in least-squares sense
- > project all colors onto plane π_v which is orthogonal to π_n
- \triangleright rotate π_v to align them to the plane of dichromacy π_d





Machado et al. 2010

- \blacktriangleright obtain plane π_n which maximizes contrast loss in least-squares sense
- > project all colors onto plane π_v which is orthogonal to π_n
- \succ rotate π_v to align them to the plane of dichromacy π_d





Result of Machado et al. 2010

Cannot preserve colors which CVD can identify (blue, yellow)



Input



Machado et al. 2010



CVD





Hassan et al. 2019 Increasing blue component of "problematic" colors CVD Input CVD (Hassan) Simulate Simulate

Difference

Transferred

Result

M.F. Hassan, Flexible color contrast enhancement method for red-green deficiency, Multidimensional Systems and Signal Processing (2019). 15



Result of Hassan et al. 2019

contrast loss (difference between sun & sky)



Input



Hassan et al. 2019



CVD



Proposed Method



We takes

• contrast enhancement

• naturalness preservation

into account

<u>Naturalness</u>

The image of the world for CVD

- deviation from the original perceived image should be minimized







 $d_{ij}^{s} \ll d_{ij} o$ contrast loss







Proposed Method



Proposed model

$$\arg\min_{c_i'} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^N \underbrace{\left(c_i^{sc} - c_j^{sc} - \delta_{ij} \right)^2}_{\text{contrast}} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^N \underbrace{\left(c_i^{sc} - c_i^s \right)^2}_{\text{naturalness}} \right)$$

Partial derivative

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1N} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{N1} & a_{N2} & \dots & a_{NN} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_N \end{bmatrix}$$

• Ax = b: A is **non-sparse** matrix, memory occupying

Implementation







Result





Result





CVD

CVD (Machado)

CVD (Hassan)

CVD (Proposed)

Evaluation



Evaluate the proposed method in terms of

contrast enhancementnaturalness preserving

Methods

quantitative evaluationsubjective experiment

Quantitative Evaluation



Absolute contrast metric: average gradient norm (AGN)

$$\operatorname{AGN} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\sqrt{G_h(i)^2 + G_v(i)^2} \right)$$

 $G_h(i)$, $G_v(i)$: horizontal, vertical Sobel gradient operators

Gibson, K. B., & Nguyen, T. Q. (2013). A no-reference perceptual based contrast enhancement metric for ocean scenes in fog. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 22(10), 3982-3993.

Quantitative Evaluation



10 images consist of





selected from color-to-gray benchmark

Average AGN Scores of 10 images

CVD Type	CVD	Machado	Hassan	Proposed
Protan	0.251	0.241	0.250	0.256
Deutan	0.258	0.254	0.257	0.273

Quantitative Evaluation



Naturalness: chromatic difference between c_i^{sc} and c_i^s

$$ACD = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\sqrt{CD_a(i)^2 + CD_b(i)^2} \right)$$

 $CD_a(i)$, $CD_b(i)$: a*, b* component difference between c_i^{sc} , c_i^s

Average ACD Scores of 10 images

CVD Type	Machado	Hassan	Proposed
Protan	16.0	11.0	10.2
Deutan	16.8	8.3	11.7



Subjects

protan: severe 2, mild 3
deutan: severe 3, mild 4

Recruited on campus by posting posters



Naturalness evaluation

Tasks:

subjects are asked to label image

- 1. either "unnatural" or "natural"
- 2. naturalness order from 1 to 4

10 images same as those used in quantitative evaluation



Naturalness evaluation

Stimulus:

Input image and all recolored images are presented in a *random* order





Average number of times the three methods received the "natural" label from subjects with severe CVD

CVD Type	Input	Machado	Hassan	Proposed
Protan	0.75	0.25	0.35	0.65
Deutan	0.80	0.37	0.57	0.60

Average number of times the three methods received the "natural" label from subjects with mild CVD

CVD Type	Input	Machado	Hassan	Proposed
Protan	0.90	0.23	0.60	0.53
Deutan	0.93	0.38	0.63	0.48



Average naturalness rank of the three methods sorted by subjects with severe CVD

CVD Type	Input	Machado	Hassan	Proposed
Protan	1.75	3.00	3.05	2.20
Deutan	1.90	3.13	2.67	2.30

Average naturalness rank of the three methods sorted by subjects with mild CVD

CVD Type	Input	Machado	Hassan	Proposed
Protan	1.73	3.27	2.40	2.60
Deutan	1.50	3.20	2.43	2.88



Contrast enhancement evaluation

Task:

Subjects are asked to label images as

- information decreased (-1) e.g. texture on flower petal disappeared
- information unchanged (0)

e.g. almost no change from the input image

information increased (+1)

e.g. texture on flower petal becomes visible, contrast is enhanced

10 images used in quantitative evaluation



Contrast enhancement evaluation

Stimulus:

The positions of recolored images are randomly decided



Input Image



-1









The average number of times the three methods received each label from subjects with **severe** CVD

CVD Type	Label	Machado	Hassan	Proposed
Protan	Increased	0.25	0.10	0.35
	Decreased	0.60	0.40	0.25
Deutan	Increased	0.07	0.03	0.10
	Decreased	0.83	0.77	0.40



The average number of times the three methods received each label from subjects with **mild** CVD

CVD Type	Label	Machado	Hassan	Proposed
Protan	Increased	0.17	0.17	0.30
	Decreased	0.50	0.40	0.43
Deutan	Increased	0.23	0.10	0.23
	Decreased	0.60	0.33	0.25

Summary



Proposed method achieved two goals simultaneously

- contrast enhancing
- naturalness preserving

Future work

- mild CVD compensation (80% of CVD)
- Accelerate the algorithm

Acknowledgements



Thanks to all the volunteers who helped with the evaluation

This work is supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Grant No. 17H00738).



Thank you for your attention Q&A